1	Identification			
Step	Name of School Division Rolling River School Division	Name of School Erickson Elementary	Name of Principal Laurie Bachewich	Date (yyyy/mm/dd) 2014/06/30

	School Profile	(Complete the following using FTE as of Sept 30 th .)				
p 2	Number of Teachers	10 FTE	Number of Students	121	Grade Levels K-6	
Ste		ement? Erickson School's mission: nts and community, provide a quality education by supportin	ng and encouraging individ	dual growth in a positive, respectful, and safe environment."		Year Revised June 2014

Expected Outcomes	Results (status, data or anecdotal evidence). Feel free to attach file with results if needed.
By the end of June 2014, 100% of students from K-6 in the regular and/or adapted program will be at or above reading level.	At the end of June 2014, 76.1% of students in the regular program were at level, 92.3% of students on IEP's were meeting their reading goals, 81% of our studen made one year's growth in their reading and 99% made continued growth. We based this data on our data from Fountas and Pinnell assessments.
By the end of June 2014, 100% of students with IEP's will meet their literacy goals.	This year we participated in a literacy block from grade K-4 which included the implementation of daily 5, guided reading and small group instruction and on -going assessment. Students were able to work at their level throughout the year. There were regular literacy meetings every Friday with the team to discuss the program, challenges and next steps. We also displayed our Fountas and Pinnell assessment wall to have discussions around student achievement; we took part in professional development as a staff through the divisional literacy coach; budget was used for guided reading materials and daily 5 resources; Reading Recovery; Resource teach provided extra literacy support for early and middle years students; literacy binders and regular team meetings where literacy was discussed.
 By June 2014, 100% of all students, staff and parents will identify EES as a safe, caring school community. 	In the fall of 2013, we had on average 18 office referrals with 25% involving physical aggression. By June of 2014 we had 8 referrals with none involving physical aggression. This continued to be about the same numbers of office referrals as last year. In our data, we have seen that most of our referrals involve minor below the behaviors – refusal to comply, verbal dis respect or mis understandings with friends. The data shows an increase in students' awareness of our school beliefs, that we things when we make a mistake rather than getting punished and students able to problem solve their own issues. These things alone have ultimately contributed to school having a more caring and safe culture. We participated in or created the following to assist in our success: a well-developed code of conduct; collaborative tea approach for all referrals to the office; the practice of restitution and discussing our beliefs; preventative guidance presentations; community groups and special event increased communication with community and parents; Grade 6 leadership opportunities; peer mediator program; breakfast program; Free the Children involvement; days; team approach for planning for student's success; community involvement and volunteering, student conferences, cultural day, guest presenters who discussed topics such as goal setting, decision making, friendships, being a good citizen and the importance of a healthy lifestyle. We have also entered into a three school, fou community project with the help of MSIP to develop strong relationships between the youth in this area. This project brings individual classes from different schools together as well as all three schools together at different times.
3.	

Planning Process (2014-15)

Step

List or describe factors that influenced your priorities.

Every year we look at: demographics; student needs; community needs; literacy data; behaviour data; teacher needs and teachers' areas of strength; parent suggestions and goal; cultural needs and differences as well as vision and our school mission. These are things that influence our priorities.

Describe the planning process and the involvement of students, staff, families and the community.

Who was involved? Students are involved – discussing what they believe their school should look, sound and feel like. Students also make decisions on causes, projects or ideas they have to make their school a better place. Parents are consulted during PAC meetings as well as through the school newsletter. At these meetings, goals and priorities are discussed and questions about the direction that parents want to take are asked. All input is taken to the staff in their discussions. Staff meetings are used as the forum for planning goals and setting direction. We consider previous goals and their results, look at data, discuss input from students and parents, consider divisional and provincial priorities and subsequently create our goals. For this year, we have decided to simplify the plan. We have created two main priorities that we felt were most important to our school, strategies to get there and ways to assess how we are doing. Once we created our two goals, we posted our work in the staff room for the entire staff to see and to add to. Those goals will remain visible for the year so we can continue to track progress as the year goes on.

How often did you meet?

School goals were discussed at every staff meeting to determine our growth. We discussed where we were at, how we are doing and where we are going. These meetings happened once a month. (approximately 8 meetings)

We also discuss literacy at every lit block meeting and are in constant reflection and always evaluating what we are doing.

What data was used?

Observation, surveys, data on referrals to office, literacy testing results, provincial assessments, pre and post assessments of projects and discussions with parents and students.

Other highlights

School Priorities 1. Literacy 2. Safe and Caring School Community 3. 4. 5. NOTE: Looking at developing a goal in numeracy for the following year.

	2014–2015 Plan For examples, see the Planning in Education website at http://	www.edu.gov.mb.ca/ks4/specedu/pie/index.html		
	Expected Outcomes What specifically are you trying to improve for students learning? (Observable, measurable)	Indicators How will you know that learning is improving?	Strategies What actions will you take?	Data Collection By what means will you collect evidence of progress toward learning?
Step 6	 1. By the end of June 2015, 100% of students from K-6 in the regular and/or adapted program will be at or above reading level. By the end of June 2015, 100% of students with IEP's will meet their literacy goals. 	Students engaged in books, reading for pleasure and showing a genuine interest in reading Increase in Library use and request for books Students and Teachers engaged in the Literacy Block and guided reading See movement of students on the literacy wall Students asking questions and taking ownership for their reading Students reading more independently	Literacy Block Balanced Day Guided Reading Literacy Place Daily 5 Literacy Support Reading Recovery Home Reading Program Literacy Binders/Profiles Summer reading program Buddy Reading	Fountas and Pinnell reading assessments Literacy wall data Running records Grade 3 assessment Observation Anecdotal records Tracking library use Reading recovery data *Data will be analyzed monthly to determine growth and direction.

		Professional Development/ Grade Groups In school team meetings School Budget to purchase guided reading materials as well as on line materials Literacy Coach Early intervention for students who have significant regression in their reading levels Literacy evening for parents and students I love to read month A more organized library program	
2. By June 2015, 100% of all students, staff and parents will identify EES as a safe, caring school community.	Involvement by parents, students and staff in the creation of a code of conduct and set of school beliefs. Increased student involvement and engagement. Fewer discipline referrals to the office. Sense of trust has been developed (between students, staff and parents). Students and parents approaching staff/leaders in the building when they need assistance. Parents and students will feel welcome. Parents and students feel that staff are approachable and will deal with issues	Well- developed and implemented code of conduct Well – developed and implemented school wide beliefs Referral process to the office for behavior incidents (that includes involvement of staff and student.) Referral process to the guidance counselor Restitution Guidance presentations Team approach to developing BIP goals – includes the students Community Groups and student leaders Peer mediator program for the playground Regular contact with parents and community Contact with community and parents through newsletters, newspapers and website Grade 6 leadership opportunities WE Day Foster Child Program Breakfast Program Lunch/Kindergarten buddies Reading buddies School spirit days Planning for student success (IEP's, BIP's, etc.) Regular Team meetings to discuss student success Regular assemblies that are student led Community involvement and volunteering Regular student meetings with Admin to discuss changes or how things are going in the school. Moving towards teaching problem solving strategies (proactive vs. reactive) Zones of Regulation program MSIP project – working with a consultant Cultural Days	Anecdotal notes Tracking system for referrals to the office (type, when, where, who) Staff , team and individual meetings to discuss student behavior, trends in incidents and analyzing them and finding solutions Parent and student survey about beliefs, goals and restitution practices (done in the fall and spring)

3 Will be developing a numeracy continuum for K-6. This will		
Will be developing a numeracy continuum for K-6. This will help to support the development of a numeracy goal in the 2015-16 school year		
ZU15-16 SCNOOL year		

	Education for Sustainable Development (ESD)				
Survey Backgr Manitoba Education with this is the Gu Manitoba Education challenges are ref the Domain Fram In particular, a sys A. B. C. D.	ound on an ide fo on an flected nework stem act Cu act Ca pra Fact are	In Advanced Learning is encouraging all schools to have an ESD school plan by 2015. This could be sustainable Schools in Manitoba			

5. (Our school would welcome support in: (list all that apply)	
	a. PD for staff	ξ
	b. Developing an ESD school plan or including ESD into the existing school plan	ξ
	c. Curriculum and resource support	ξ
	d. Other (please specify) ——	
6.	Comments and Suggestions	
We apply for social ju	ustice and equity and sustainable development grants for school and community based projects in t	his area. While we are always doing different projects, we are working towards embedding this philosophy in the way we teach and live.

A School Planning Rubric and examples are available for schools to consider at www.edu.gov.mb.ca/k12/specedu/pie/index.html.

Direct inquiries about the	e School Planning
Report to:	

Larry Budzinski Phone: 204-572-5117

Email: <lbudzinski@gov.mb.ca>

DUE DATE:
OCTOBER 31,
2014

Submitting Completed School Planning Report

Please submit completed *School Planning Reports* to your division office. Divisions please email the reports to Doreen Rothery at < <u>Doreen.Rothery@gov.mb.ca</u>>. Phone 204-945-8867 Electronic Submissions are required.

Schools and Divisions wishing to participate in the school and division report database project are asked to submit their plan using the link from our website.